

04:40pm

a method for subtracting said constant yardage from the total distance of each hole on the course.

## REMARKS

Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention. The applicant respectfully submits that claim 5 has been amended to include the limitations referred to in claim 4, and the phrase "derived from claim 4" has been deleted. The phrase "derived from subtracting said constant yardage from the total distance of each hole on the course" has been added. The applicant respectfully submits that claim 5 is now allowable.

Claims 1-3 and 6-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Townsley. Claim 10 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Townsley in view of Lewis. In response, claim 4 has been amended to include all the limitations of claims 1 and 3 because claims 1 and 3 have been canceled. The applicant respectfully submits that claims 4 and 5 are now allowable.

New claim 11 has been written with the patentable subject matter of newly amended claim 4, with the extraneous subject matter of claim 1 removed. Applicant believes that because it has the patentable subject matter as indicated by the Examiner, that new claim 11 is also allowable.

The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the attorney of record, Michael L. Greenberg, at 301-588-8393 should the claims not be deemed allowable for any reason.

From-Greenberg&Lieberman

A petition for a three month extension of time is hereby made. Please charge all fees due and owing to deposit account number 500356.